



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF OHIO COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: MARCELLUS SHALE LITIGATION

CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-C-3000

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO MULTIPLE CASES:

ROBERT ANDREWS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR ANDREWS RL
RODNEY ASHCRAFT, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR ASHCRAFT R
LINDSEY FEATHERS v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR FEATHERS LN
ROBERT GOLDEN, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR GOLDEN R
DANIEL KINNEY, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR KINNEY DL
CHARLES A. MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER CA
CHARLES T. MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER CT
DOUGLAS MAZER, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER DA
SHAWN MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER SA
SUSAN MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER SJ
GREGG MCWILLIAMS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MCWILLIAMS G
DAVID NUTT v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR NUTT DS
ROBERT SIDERS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR SIDERS R

**ORDER**

Having reviewed Defendant Antero Resources Corporation's and Defendant Hall Drilling, LLC's motions to strike or, in the alternative, for leave to file a sur-reply regarding Plaintiffs' judicial estoppel argument (Transaction IDs 58570006 and 58572247), and having conferred with one another to ensure uniformity of their decisions as contemplated by Trial Court Rule 26.07(a), the Court **DENIES** Defendants' motions to strike Plaintiffs' judicial estoppel argument. Defendants' motions seeking leave to file a sur-reply to Plaintiffs' judicial estoppel argument are **GRANTED**, and Defendants' sur-replies are deemed filed as of February 12, 2016. See Transaction IDs 58570006 and 58572247.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**ENTER:** February 19, 2016.

/s/ Alan D. Moats  
Lead Presiding Judge  
Marcellus Shale Litigation