

West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals

Child Abuse and Neglect Database

Judicial Reporting



January - December, 2012

Introduction

Over the last few years the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals has demonstrated its commitment to children through its attention to child abuse and neglect proceedings. Aided by the collaboration of West Virginia's Court Improvement Program Board, a nationally recognized database was created to collect information on the timeliness of events within child abuse and neglect cases. The database is administered by the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, Division of Court Services. Funding is provided by Court Improvement Program grant funds awarded by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

While this report is organized by judge, the data reflects of the work of all professionals involved in abuse and neglect proceedings on the judge's caseload. These professionals include child protective service workers, attorneys, guardians ad litem, and child advocates, among others. Additionally, abuse and neglect cases often involve children and families with unique circumstances that can complicate the process. Some circumstances are significant enough to merit or require a departure from the normal timeframes in a case. Knowing this, it is not the goal of the project to obtain 100% compliance to the various performance measures. The project's purpose is to provide each circuit court and the Supreme Court the data-tools to aid in identifying areas in need of improvement to expedite permanency for children.

In the following pages, child abuse and neglect caseloads for West Virginia's Circuit Judges are summarized through the performance measures related to the various stages and timeframes in these proceedings. For each performance measure four calculations are provided. The number of applicable records indicates the number of records available for reporting. The average column provides the arithmetic mean of the results when the given performance measure is applied to all applicable records. The median (midpoint) of these results is also provided. The final column includes the percent of records which were compliant with the given performance measure's limit (as provided in the performance measure title). Judge-level reporting also includes a statewide percent compliance column for reference. Complete reporting methodology for each of the performance measures is provided in the appendix.

Statewide Statistics

Statewide Performance Measures aggregates data reported for ALL Circuit Courts

Statewide Compliance data between 1/1/2012 and 12/31/2012

Statewide Performance Measures

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	1426	44.6	29.0	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	1619	312.4	250.0	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	780	303.8	254.5	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	4385	76.6	45.0	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	1934	470.8	370.5	No Standard

Number of Judges Per Case

The following data shows the median, mean, and maximum number of judges per case in the time frame specified

Number Of Cases	Median Judges Per Case	Mean Judges Per Case	Maximum Judges Per Case
2340	1	1	4

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:27 AM

Composite Judge Statistics

Performance Measure data below include data for all counties reported. This report includes data for all active judges.

Compliance data between 1/1/2012 and 12/31/2012

Performance Measures - Judge Aboulhosn

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	87	37.3	28.0	65.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	71	321.5	237.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	31	539.6	528.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	164	72.0	45.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	60	463.1	368.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Aloï

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	27	38.6	41.0	40.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	18	358.7	353.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	5	402.2	487.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	46	106.5	58.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	35	547.9	296.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Alsop

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	21	57.8	28.0	66.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	132	266.6	212.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	56	421.5	395.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	386	61.0	38.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	148	516.5	441.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Bailey

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	25	320.2	263.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	69	103.8	73.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	36	408.7	235.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Beane

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	3	34.7	31.0	66.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	48	734.6	681.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	102	56.4	38.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	45	515.2	391.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Bedell

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	6	100.2	45.5	0.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	16	209.6	87.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	6	397.0	424.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	39	52.0	39.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	7	810.6	632.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Blake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	49	39.8	37.0	26.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	25	159.6	136.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	44	225.2	238.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	51	76.5	67.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	47	349.6	310.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Bloom

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	25	30.3	22.0	64.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	27	230.7	231.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	56	67.9	46.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	31	317.2	249.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Burnside

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	10	176.0	172.5	0.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	34	339.4	360.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	70	110.2	85.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	60	525.2	357.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Clawges

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	22	24.5	24.0	77.3%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	1	96.0	96.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	36	44.1	41.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	24	590.4	374.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Cookman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	47	16.0	14.0	91.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	55	122.8	80.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	15	387.1	162.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	80	37.7	26.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	32	630.2	476.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Evans

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	56	278.0	313.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	15	376.0	376.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	195	40.6	31.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	83	314.3	248.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Facemire

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	14	38.5	13.0	92.9%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	14	598.6	559.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	5	215.2	201.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	54	46.2	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	15	201.8	155.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Farrell

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	33	97.4	41.0	42.4%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	28	238.6	233.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	19	157.6	65.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	95	93.5	40.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	22	342.1	294.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Ferguson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	24	418.1	402.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	22	194.4	95.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	25	445.1	458.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Gaughan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	24	42.3	32.0	50.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	9	481.6	542.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	25	189.0	139.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	14	462.6	399.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Gaujot

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	9	22.9	22.0	88.9%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	11	344.4	288.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	4	619.2	713.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	20	64.4	48.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	11	529.2	393.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Hatcher

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	1	37.0	37.0	0.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	3	14.0	14.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Hoke

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	22	223.4	5.0	68.2%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	6	1206.3	630.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	10	58.0	6.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	38	845.9	629.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Hummel

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	2	98.0	98.0	0.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	15	327.9	352.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	7	523.6	332.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	19	115.5	129.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	20	555.6	403.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Husted

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	53	34.0	27.0	58.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	57	191.6	202.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	55	175.3	167.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	123	26.0	26.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	55	335.8	190.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Hutchison

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	15	113.2	66.0	6.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	26	499.2	535.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	2	282.0	282.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	73	121.0	83.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	26	424.1	381.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Irons

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	5	260.6	236.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	5	33.2	33.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	1	623.0	623.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Janes

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	9	215.2	220.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	46	67.0	48.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	35	491.8	344.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Johnson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	70	156.5	125.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	25	229.7	210.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	123	41.2	42.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	76	409.0	314.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Jordan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	21	22.4	19.0	76.2%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	20	163.0	140.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	3	430.0	292.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	40	63.2	32.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	4	286.8	144.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Karl

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	19	38.6	38.0	26.3%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	15	336.9	385.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	29	403.8	385.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	35	147.4	109.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	32	443.2	431.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Kaufman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	4	64.5	70.0	25.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	17	71.6	42.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	10	142.0	97.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Keadle

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	13	210.8	212.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	7	266.7	257.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	2	326.0	326.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	21	409.6	393.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge King

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	16	434.2	391.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	37	247.8	126.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	6	1007.3	1365.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Kirkpatrick

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	42	35.3	28.0	64.3%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	30	438.5	421.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	61	338.6	283.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	77	60.1	56.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	43	311.3	266.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Marks

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	6	37.0	32.5	50.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	32	375.4	296.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	14	331.9	421.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	59	139.1	57.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	31	534.7	420.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Matish

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	7	27.0	19.0	57.1%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	11	419.4	445.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	3	268.0	268.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	29	86.6	71.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	9	410.7	268.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Mazzone

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	32	91.5	62.0	34.4%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	22	383.0	269.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	16	347.4	327.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	49	108.9	87.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	38	596.4	480.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge McGraw

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	89	46.4	34.0	47.2%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	19	806.2	658.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	91	209.4	189.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	79	410.7	209.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Miller

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	6	21.3	23.5	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	16	209.6	145.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	13	201.2	196.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	57	41.1	27.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	34	390.3	196.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Moats

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	36	49.0	37.0	36.1%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	52	225.6	197.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	34	349.1	358.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	75	28.8	11.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	42	523.5	459.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Murensky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	9	33.9	25.0	55.6%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	2	704.0	704.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	9	325.2	340.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	33	66.9	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	12	503.8	399.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Nelson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	34	19.9	19.5	97.1%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	23	153.5	92.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	4	537.0	554.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	84	45.4	39.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	17	628.2	853.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Nibert

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	2	293.0	293.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	2	152.5	152.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge O'Briant

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	40	41.8	33.0	50.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	16	308.6	270.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	14	230.1	205.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	51	83.6	56.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	19	443.0	309.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Pancake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	40	424.4	398.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	111	80.3	61.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	25	564.8	588.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Parsons

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	4	17.0	16.0	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	3	85.0	52.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	2	138.0	138.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	10	85.1	128.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	1	246.0	246.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Perry

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	52	24.2	21.0	80.8%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	13	410.1	357.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	41	316.3	342.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	110	101.9	90.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	5	245.0	279.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Pomponio

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	16	48.9	41.5	25.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	18	284.4	223.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	65	69.6	56.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	26	413.0	402.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Pratt

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	6	21.3	22.5	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	17	265.7	267.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	78	203.8	121.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	195	45.8	39.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	97	336.5	262.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Recht

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	16	69.3	74.0	37.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	18	481.4	379.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	5	616.8	464.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	41	267.4	240.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	14	778.1	885.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Reed

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	36	248.8	213.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	2	76.0	76.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	88	59.4	36.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	30	447.3	521.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Rowe

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	28	19.2	20.5	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	25	207.4	217.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	67	53.1	46.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	32	603.2	491.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Sadler

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	115	31.6	28.0	62.6%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	56	364.4	413.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	167	41.4	32.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	69	500.0	406.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Sims

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	10	29.7	26.0	60.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	12	410.8	391.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	19	684.6	586.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	35	131.5	154.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	4	709.0	605.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Starcher

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Stephens

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	35	155.8	132.0	5.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	14	930.1	712.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	19	217.6	152.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	21	207.8	112.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	2	136.0	136.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Stowers

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	2	7.0	7.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	1	334.0	334.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	44	18.6	17.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Stucky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	22	235.8	238.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	64	84.3	49.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	32	523.8	276.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Sweeney

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	18	38.1	34.0	38.9%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	14	253.6	222.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	1	243.0	243.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	103	67.9	63.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	34	758.6	636.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Swope

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	23	24.7	28.0	73.9%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	25	322.0	278.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	23	115.6	103.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	36	99.8	96.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	39	557.7	481.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Thompson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	3	22.7	11.0	66.7%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	28	366.6	309.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	1	237.0	237.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	72	72.5	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	30	534.3	377.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Thornsby

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	86	9.7	9.0	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	91	132.0	105.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	62	170.5	203.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	151	34.1	35.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	59	542.4	519.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Tucker

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	14	31.8	29.5	71.4%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	30	57.3	54.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Vickers

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Waters

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	1	28.0	28.0	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	13	387.3	389.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	28	158.2	53.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	6	457.7	283.5	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Webster

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	33	68.2	47.0	9.1%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	20	234.1	246.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	57	104.3	89.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	9	488.8	301.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Wilfong

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	18	5.1	6.0	100.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	5	211.4	83.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	37	61.8	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	9	169.8	175.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Wilson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	48	27.2	25.0	70.8%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	33	467.7	505.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	22	410.3	337.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	60	135.9	98.5	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	25	519.5	494.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Yoder

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	8	34.0	29.0	62.5%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	1	333.0	333.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	10	49.6	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	2	612.0	612.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Performance Measures - Judge Zakaib

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Petition Filing to MDT Convene Date (33 day compliance limit)	15	43.1	34.0	0.0%	58.8%
Time to Termination of Parental Rights (TPR)	17	318.2	293.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to First Permanency Planning Determination	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Adjudication	49	124.3	106.0	No Standard	No Standard
Time to Permanent Placement	20	357.8	197.0	No Standard	No Standard

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2012 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect cases assigned to them in 2012, and those who retired or left office in 2012. Judges Yoder, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2012, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- This project was supported by Grant Number PN13178 awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Report Generated on 09/03/2013 at 8:12 AM

Appendix A:

Reporting Methodology

Reporting Methodology

If there are multiple judges on a case, how does the system determine who the case record belongs to?

Record ownership is determined for each performance measure. The judge who is active during only part of a case will receive credit only for those case activities during which he was judge. For example, on the performance measure which calculates Filing to Preliminary Hearing, the judge assigned to the case at the time of the Preliminary Hearing will receive credit for the case on that measure. However, on the same case there may have been a different judge assigned when the child reaches permanency. This second judge would receive credit for Time to Permanency measure. Ownership of the record for each performance measure is determined by the judge assigned to the case at the time of the latest activity date used to calculate a given performance measure.

What activity dates are used to group the cases into the date ranges chosen for reports?

You may select a range of dates to be displayed in your reports, or graph your data over a series of years. When you do this, you are reviewing the judge's work during those time periods. For example, if you run a report with the date parameters January 2009 to December 2009 for the Filing to Preliminary Hearing performance measures it will reflect all cases in which the judge has held a preliminary hearing during the year 2009. Likewise, if you run a report for that same time period for the measure Time to Permanency, it will reflect all those cases assigned to the judge that reached permanency during that time period. Keep in mind when you look at the MyStats report, the different measures will reflect different populations of cases depending upon where in the judicial process each case is within the judge's caseload.

How are cases with amended petitions handled for reporting?

The reporting site will now calculate Amended Petitions within the Performance Measures. The measure has been modified to include Amended Petitions when applicable and can be found within Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal, Time to Adjudication, and Time to Termination of Parental Rights. In order to give the judges an opportunity to remain in compliance, the measurement is based on individual respondents within each case. Calculations will begin the date the Amended Petition is filed for the particular respondent rather than the date the original petition was filed.

Appendix B:

Performance Measure Methodology

Performance Measure Methodology

Judicial Measures

Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing

'...A [review hearing] shall be conducted within 90 days of the award of the improvement period.' For each respondent granted PAIPs the number of days lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the first PAIP review hearing date should be less than or equal to 93 days. This calculation includes the three day grace period allowed for all performance measures.

Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP)

'A Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period shall not exceed three months'. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the PAIP measures the time lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the PAIP termination date for each respondent. If this results in 93 or fewer days the PAIP is considered within compliance.

End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing

'An adjudicatory hearing held at the end of an improvement period shall be held as close in time as possible after the end of the improvement period and shall be held within 60 days of the termination of such improvement period.' Knowing this, the performance measure associated with this Benchbook quote calculates the number of calendar days between the termination of the PAIP and the begin date of the adjudicatory hearing for each respondent. Allowing for the grace period, if this calculation results in 63 or fewer days it is considered within compliance.

Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal

If the child is not removed from the home then the adjudicatory hearing must be commenced within thirty days of the filing of the original petition. For all cases in which the record indicates that the child has not been removed, the number of days between the filing of the original petition for each respondent and the respondent's start date of the adjudicatory hearing is calculated. This calculation includes all calendar days. With the allowed three day grace period, all cases with a result of 33 days or less are considered within compliance. If a respondent was added as the result of an Amended Petition, time to the Adjudicatory Hearing would be calculated from the date the respondent was added rather than the original petition date.

Time to Adjudication

This measure will include calculating the average (mean) and median time from filing of the original petition to adjudication. The average will be calculated using all respondent records including original petition filing date and the beginning date of the adjudicatory hearing date for each respondent. If a respondent was added as the result of an Amended Petition, time to the Adjudicatory Hearing would be calculated from the date the respondent was added rather than the original petition date.

Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP)

'After finding that a child is an abused or neglected child, a court may grant a respondent an

improvement period not to exceed six months.’ However, ‘when the Court finds that the respondent has substantially complied with the terms of the improvement period; that the continuation of the improvement period will not substantially impair the ability of the Department interest on the child, W. Va. Code § 49-6-12(g)’, the court may extend this period for an additional three months. This makes the maximum duration of an Adjudicatory Improvement Period nine months (270 days). Therefore, the number of days lapsed between AIP start date and AIP end date must be less than or equal to 273 days to be within compliance (nine months plus 3 day grace period).

Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Periods (AIP)

‘The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.’ If an AIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the AIP and the first review hearing for each respondent should be less than or equal to 93 days. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the AIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing

‘A disposition hearing shall commence within 45 days of the entry of the adjudicatory order.’ Since the database collects only hearing dates, not the dates orders were entered, it is necessary to add ten days to the allotted time period to allow for the filing of the adjudicatory order. Therefore, all cases without AIPs are determined within compliance if the date of the last adjudicatory order is within 58 days of the date of the disposition hearing. This measure is calculated for each applicable respondent in a case. This allows for a grace period of an additional three days.

Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP)

‘A Dispositional Improvement Period shall not exceed nine months (six months plus possible three month extension)’. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the DIP measures the time lapsed between the DIP begin date and the DIP termination date for each applicable respondent. If this results in 273 or fewer days the DIP is considered within compliance. (Nine months multiplied by thirty days plus a three day grace period.)

Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP)

‘The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.’ If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the DIP and the first review hearing should be less than or equal to 93 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the DIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing

‘When a dispositional improvement period has been awarded as an alternative to final disposition, a final disposition hearing shall be held no later than 60 days after the end of the disposition improvement period.’ If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the termination of the improvement period and the beginning of the final dispositional hearing should not exceed 63 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance standard includes all calendar days and a three day grace period.